\*\*EXTRACTION PHASE:\*\*  
Key Points from Conversation:  
1. Speaker 2 is 24 years old.  
2. He is from Rancho Cucamonga, California.  
3. Experienced a traumatic brain injury (TBI) due to a gunshot wound on December 13, 2022.  
4. Military background, worked on Blackhawk helicopters.  
5. Post-military work in masonry and Spirit AeroSystems.  
6. Speaker 1 is his primary caregiver.  
7. Training session involved using Speaker 7 (voice interface).  
8. Speaker 2 engaged in various cognitive tasks.  
9. High self-reported proficiency with Speaker 7 for several tasks.  
10. Involvement of various clinicians in assessment and training.  
  
\*\*VERIFICATION PHASE:\*\*  
Comparing each key point:  
1. Age and location: Present in note.  
2. TBI and military background: Present in note.  
3. Post-military employment: Present in note.  
4. Role of Speaker 1: Present in note.  
5. Training goals using Speaker 7: Present in note.  
6. Cognitive task participation: Present in note.  
7. Self-reported proficiency with Speaker 7: Present in note.  
8. Involvement of clinicians: Present in note.  
  
\*\*METRIC CALCULATION:\*\*  
- Missing points: 0  
- Unsupported statements: 0  
- Inconsistencies: 1 (Minor error in recalling holidays noted, yet overall good cognitive function stated.)  
- Generic documentation: 1  
- Improper terms/formatting: 0  
  
\*\*SECTION SCORING:\*\*  
Subjective (S):  
- Deductions: 0. No missing or unsupported information.  
- Score: 10/10  
  
Objective (O):  
- Deductions: 0. Accurate representation of objective data.  
- Score: 10/10  
  
Assessment (A):  
- Deductions: 1 point for inconsistency noted between recalling holidays and overall function.  
- Score: 9/10  
  
Plan (P):  
- Deductions: 0. Plan is specific and well-structured.  
- Score: 10/10  
  
\*\*TOTAL DEDUCTIONS:\*\*  
Inconsistency: -1  
Generic documentation: -0.5  
  
\*\*CAPS APPLICATION:\*\*  
- Comprehensiveness: 100% details captured, no cap applied.  
- No major clinical inconsistencies, sections missing/inadequate or critical info missing – no other caps applied.  
  
\*\*FINAL CALCULATION:\*\*  
Final Score = MIN(10, 10 - total\_deductions, lowest\_section\_score + 2, all\_applicable\_caps)   
= MIN(10, 10 - 1.5, 9 + 2)  
= MIN(10, 8.5, 11)  
= 8.5  
  
\*\*FINAL SCORE: 8.5\*\*  
  
Rating: 8.5/10  
  
\*\*JUSTIFICATION:\*\*  
The SOAP note effectively captured all critical points from the conversation transcript with clarity and detail. The minor deduction was primarily due to a noted inconsistency which didn't align perfectly with the expressed outcomes in the note. Overall, the document was comprehensive, accurate, and aligned with provided discussion points.